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A B S T R A C T

The Antarctic Impulsive Transient Antenna (ANITA) is a NASA long-duration balloon experiment with the
primary goal of detecting ultra-high-energy (> 10^{18} eV) neutrinos via the Askaryan Effect. The fourth ANITA
mission, ANITA-IV, recently flew from Dec 2 to Dec 29, 2016. For the first time, the Tunable Universal
Filter Frontend (TUFF) boards were deployed for mitigation of narrow-band, anthropogenic noise with tunable,
switchable notch filters. The TUFF boards also performed second-stage amplification by approximately 45 dB
to boost the ∼ μV-level radio frequency (RF) signals to ∼ mV-level for digitization, and supplied power via bias tees
to the first-stage, antenna-mounted amplifiers. The other major change in signal processing in ANITA-IV is the
resurrection of the 90° hybrids deployed previously in ANITA-I, in the trigger system, although in this paper we
focus on the TUFF boards. During the ANITA-IV mission, the TUFF boards were successfully operated throughout
the flight. They contributed to a factor of 2.8 higher total instrument livetime on average in ANITA-IV compared
to ANITA-III due to reduction of narrow-band, anthropogenic noise before a trigger decision is made.

1. Introduction

The Antarctic Impulsive Transient Antenna (ANITA) is a NASA long-duration balloon-borne ultra-high-energy (UHE) neutrino detector [1]. ANITA looks for radio impulses produced via the Askaryan Effect by UHE neutrinos interacting in the Antarctic ice. The Askaryan Effect, as formulated by Askaryan et al. [2] and observed in ice by the ANITA collaboration in a beam test [3], is the production of coherent Cherenkov
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radio impulses due to a charged particle shower traveling in a dielectric medium at a speed faster than the speed of light in that medium.

The fourth ANITA flight, ANITA-IV, was launched on Dec 2, 2016 from the NASA Long Duration Balloon (LDB) Facility located 10 km from McMurdo Station in Antarctica. The flight was terminated on Dec 29, 2016 and landed approximately 100 km from the South Pole. The Tunable Universal Filter Frontend (TUFF) boards were deployed for the first time in the ANITA-IV mission, and are the subject of this paper.

1.1. **Continuous-wave (CW) interference**

The principal challenge of the ANITA experiment is to distinguish neutrino signals from radio frequency (RF) noise. The two main sources of noise are thermal radiation by the Antarctic ice and anthropogenic noise, much of which is modulated continuous-wave (CW) interference.

While Antarctica itself is relatively free of CW transmissions, except for bases of human activity, transmissions from geosynchronous satellites are continuously in view. The average full-width-at-half-maximum (FWHM) beamwidth of the ANITA antennas is approximately 45°. Although the ANITA antennas are canted downward by 10°, the beam of the antennas extends to horizontal from the perspective of the payload and above. The Antarctic science bases, the most prominent of which is McMurdo and South Pole Station, are more radio-loud than the rest of the continent, producing CW interference, for example, in the 430–460 MHz band.

CW interference due to military satellites has affected all ANITA flights. ANITA-I (Dec. 2006–Jan. 2007) and ANITA-II (Dec. 2008–Jan. 2009) observed CW interference primarily in the 240–270 MHz band, peaking at 260 MHz. This frequency range is predominantly used by the aging Fleet Satellite (FLTSAT) Communications System and the Ultra High Frequency Follow-On (UFO) System, both serving the United States Department of Defense since year 1978 and 1993 respectively. In addition to CW interference at 260 MHz, ANITA-III (Dec. 2014–Jan. 2015) observed CW interference at 375 MHz which is thought to be due to the newer Mobile User Objective System (MUOS) satellites that were launched during the period from Feb. 2012–June 2016. The CW signals generate events with excess power in left circular polarization (as expected) above the horizon, in approximately stationary positions.

The ANITA-III experiment was most affected by CW interference due to military satellites. Due to the design of the ANITA-I and ANITA-II trigger, which required coincidences among different frequency bands, the CW interference did not overwhelm the acquisition system. However, ANITA-III was redesigned for improved sensitivity and based its trigger decisions on full-bandwidth (200–1200 MHz) signals. The modulation present in the CW interference produced trigger rates far in excess of the digitization system’s readout capabilities (∼50 Hz) for thresholds comparable to those used in previous flights. Thus, the ANITA-III experiment was susceptible to digitization deadtime (defined in Section 6.1) throughout the flight.

The lesson learned from the ANITA-III flight was that a new method of mitigation of CW signal had to be a priority for the ANITA-IV flight. Before ANITA-IV, the available methods to reduce digitization deadtime were masking and decreasing thresholds (described in Sections 3 and 6.2) when in the presence of higher levels of noise. A decrease in thresholds corresponds to higher power of the incoming signal as explained in Section 3.1. Masking and decreasing thresholds come at the cost of instrument livetime (defined in Section 6.1) and sensitivity to neutrinos, respectively. For about 90% of the time during the ANITA-III flight, masking was used to veto triggers from over half of the payload field-of-view to keep the trigger rate at or below 50 Hz. This significantly lowered the total instrument livetime. For ANITA-IV, the TUFF boards were built with tunable notch filters to restore triggering efficiencies in the presence of CW interference. Additionally, the 90° hybrids, previously deployed in ANITA-I as described in our design paper [1], were added to the ANITA-IV trigger system to require signals to be linearly polarized.

2. **ANITA payload**

The ANITA payload is designed to view the ice out to the horizon at 700 km distance with complete azimuthal coverage and good reconstruction capability, while its shape and size is constrained by its NASA launch vehicle “The Boss”, pictured in Fig. 1. The ANITA-III and ANITA-IV payloads each have 48 antennas. The antennas are arranged in three aligned rings of 16 antennas, termed the top, middle, and bottom rings. The top ring consists of two staggered sub-rings each having eight antennas.

The three rings of antennas and a phi sector of ANITA-IV are pictured in Fig. 1. The FWHM beamwidth of the antennas is approximately 45°. The antennas in the top ring are evenly spaced by 45° in azimuth. The two sub-rings in the top ring are offset by 22.5° for uniform coverage. The antennas in the middle ring are evenly spaced by 22.5°. The antennas in the bottom ring are evenly spaced by 22.5°. All the antennas are angled downward by 10° to preferentially observe signals coming from the ice as opposed to from the sky. Each group of three antennas in a vertical column, taking one antenna from each ring, forms a phi sector, viewing a 22.5° region in azimuth.

The ANITA Instrument Box is placed on a deck above the middle ring of antennas, also seen in Fig. 1. The Instrument Box contains different units for signal processing, as illustrated in Fig. 2. More details on signal processing are in Section 3. In ANITA-IV, the 12-channel TUFF modules reside inside four Internal Radio Frequency Conditioning Modules (IRFCMs) inside the Instrument Box.

The NASA Science Instrument Package (SIP) also sits on the deck. The SIP is powered and controlled by NASA. It is used for flight control such as ballast release and flight termination. The SIP also provides a connection to the ANITA payload during flight through line-of-sight transmission, the Iridium satellites, and the Tracking and Data Satellite System (TDRSS). This allows us to monitor the payload continuously during the flight. A small fraction of data (less than 1%) is transferred from the payload through telemetry. Commands to perform different functions, such as tuning a TUFF notch filter, can be sent to the payload in real time using the SIP connection.

3. **ANITA signal processing**

In this section we describe the signal processing chain for ANITA-IV, and in particular the steps that are relevant to understanding the role of the TUFF boards. We will note when and where the ANITA-III signal processing differed. The RF signal processing chain for ANITA-IV is illustrated in Fig. 2. Each ANITA antenna is dual-polarized with feeds for vertically and horizontally polarized (VPol and HPol) signals. Therefore, for 48 antennas there are 96 total full-band (200–1200 MHz) RF signal channels.

Each channel goes through the Antenna-Mounted Pre-amplifier (AMPA) unit before entering the Instrument Box. There is an AMPA unit connected directly to the VPol and HPol outputs of each antenna. The AMPA contains a 200–1200 MHz bandpass filter, followed by an approximately 35 dB Low Noise Amplifier (LNA). Following the AMPA unit, the RF signal travels through 12 m of LMR240 coaxial cable to the Instrument Box. Inside the Instrument Box, the signal first goes through second-stage amplification (performed by a different module in ANITA-III) and notch filtering (unique to ANITA-IV), both performed by the TUFF boards in ANITA-IV. Then it passes through another set of bandpass filters before being split into digitization and triggering paths. The triggering and digitization processes are detailed below.

3.1. **Triggering**

In the triggering path, the RF signals from both the VPol and HPol channels of a single antenna are passed through a 90° hybrid (hybrids were absent in ANITA-III). The outputs from the 90° hybrid are the left and right circular polarized (LCP and RCP) components of the
combined VPOL and HPOL signals from an antenna. The hybrid outputs are input to the SURF (Sampling Unit for RF) high-occupancy RF Trigger (SHORT) unit before being passed to the SURF board. Each SHORT takes four channels as its input. In a SHORT channel, the RF signal passes through a tunnel diode and an amplifier. The output of the SHORT is approximately proportional to the square of the voltages of the input RF signal integrated over approximately 5 ns. It is a measure of the power of the incoming signal and is typically a negative voltage. The SHORT output is routed to a SURF trigger input where it enters a discriminator that compares this negative voltage in Digital-to-Analog Converter (DAC) counts to the output of a software-controlled DAC threshold on the SURF, henceforth referred to as the SURF DAC threshold. The SURF DAC threshold is expressed in arbitrary units of DAC counts corresponding to voltages. Lower thresholds correspond to higher voltages and therefore, higher power of the incoming signal. The SURF DAC threshold can be changed during flight. During the ANITA-III flight, CW interference overwhelmed the digitization system, forcing us to impose frequent and large changes in the SURF DAC thresholds. A comparison of SURF DAC thresholds between ANITA-III and ANITA-IV is presented in Fig. 3. Note that the lower overall threshold for ANITA-IV is primarily due to the modified triggering scheme, which requires more overall coincidences between channels. The increased stability of the ANITA-IV thresholds, due to the CW mitigation schemes presented here, is clearly apparent.

Trigger logic. Due to power and bandwidth limitations, ANITA is not able to constantly record data. Digitization of data only occurs when the trigger conditions are satisfied. The ANITA-IV trigger consists of three triggering levels: Level 1, Level 2 and Level 3. The trigger requirements at each of these three levels is described below.

Level 1 trigger. The Sampling Unit for RF (SURF) board issues the Level 1 trigger. To form a Level 1 trigger, the SHORT outputs of the LCP and RCP channels from the same antenna are required to exceed the SURF DAC threshold within 4 ns. This LCP/RCP coincidence requirement was added to the ANITA-IV trigger to mitigate anthropogenic and thermal backgrounds. The signals of interest are known to be linearly polarized, whereas satellite emission is often circularly polarized and thermal noise is unpolarized. In the presence of a continuous source of CW signal such as satellites, the LCP/RCP coincidence may still allow a combination of circularly polarized satellite noise and the circularly polarized component of thermal noise to satisfy the Level 1 trigger requirement. Therefore, the LCP/RCP coincidence aids in reducing triggers induced by satellites but does not completely mitigate their effect.
3.2. Digitization

The digitization of the signal is performed by the SURF board. There are twelve SURF boards, each containing four custom-built Application Specific Integrated Circuits called Large Analog Bandwidth Recorder And Digitizer with Ordered Readout (LABRADOR).

**LABRADOR chip and digitization deadtime.** ANITA-IV uses the third generation of LABRADOR chips that are described by Varner et al. [5]. Each LABRADOR chip has a 260-element switched capacitor array (SCA) for each of its 9 input channels, with one channel used for timing synchronization. The RF signal entering a SURF gets split and fed into four parallel LABRADOR chips (forming four “buffers” for digitization). The SCAs sample waveform data at the rate of 2.6 GSa/s. At any moment, the charge stored in an SCA is a 100 ns record of the signal voltage. This 100 ns snapshot of the incoming plane wave is known as an “event”. When a Level 3 trigger occurs, a single LABRADOR chip stops sampling and is “held”. It then digitizes the stored data, which is then read out by the flight computer, taking approximately 5–10 ms. If all four LABRADOR chips are held, the trigger is “dead” and the accumulated time when the trigger is dead is recorded as digitization deadtime by the TURF board.

**Masking.** During ANITA-III, digitization deadtime due to high levels of anthropogenic noise was reduced by excluding certain phi sectors from participating in the Level 3 trigger. This is called phi-masking. Alternatively, specific channels (each antenna has two channels) were excluded from participating in the Level 1 trigger. This is called channel-masking. Together these are referred to as masking. Because of CW interference by military communications satellites, over half of the payload had to be masked during most of the ANITA-III flight. This strongly motivated the creation of the TUFF boards with tunable, switchable notch filters. A comparison of masking between ANITA-III and ANITA-IV is presented in Fig. 4.

4. TUFF board design

For ANITA-IV, we built and deployed 16 TUFF boards (not counting spares) with six channels each for the 96 total full-band RF channels of ANITA. Fig. 2 shows, for a single RF channel in ANITA-IV, where the TUFF boards are in the signal processing chain. The main components of each TUFF channel comprise two amplifiers, three notch filters, a microcontroller and a bias tee, as highlighted in Fig. 5. In the signal processing chain, the notch filters were included in both the trigger and signal paths for simplicity, to conserve some dynamic range of the digitizer when interference was present, and to ensure that the filters come immediately after a directive element (the final amplifier).

The design of the TUFF board was affected by the low power budget of ANITA as well as the weight and size restrictions of a balloon mission, as described in Section 2. The TUFF boards needed to be low-power and light, and compact to fit into the existing amplifier housing locations along with necessary cabling to match to the existing connectors.

Fig. 5 shows a single TUFF channel, each of which is approximately 56 mm in width. Each printed circuit board has four layers of copper with four parallel LABRADOR chips (forming four “buffers” for digitization). The SCAs sample waveform data at the rate of 2.6 GSa/s. At any moment, the charge stored in an SCA is a 100 ns record of the signal voltage. This 100 ns snapshot of the incoming plane wave is known as an “event”. When a Level 3 trigger occurs, a single LABRADOR chip stops sampling and is “held”. It then digitizes the stored data, which is then read out by the flight computer, taking approximately 5–10 ms. If all four LABRADOR chips are held, the trigger is “dead” and the accumulated time when the trigger is dead is recorded as digitization deadtime by the TURF board.

**Level 2 trigger.** The SURF board issues the Level 2 trigger. A Level 1 trigger opens up a time window. If there are two Level 1 triggers in the same phi sector within the allowable time window, then a Level 2 trigger is issued. The allowable time window depends on which antenna had the first Level 1 trigger. Time windows of 16 ns, 12 ns and 4 ns in duration are opened up when a Level 1 trigger is issued in the bottom, middle and top ring respectively. These time windows were chosen to preferentially select signals coming up from the ice. The Level 2 trigger decisions are passed from the SURF boards to a dedicated triggering board called the Triggering Unit for RF (TURF). The Level 2 trigger timing in ANITA-IV differed from that used in ANITA-III as changes were made to further restrict the allowed timing of the antenna coincidences to better match timing expected from an incoming plane wave.

**Level 3 trigger.** The TURF board issues the Level 3 trigger. A field programmable gate array (FPGA) on the TURF board monitors Level 2 triggers. A Level 3 trigger is issued by the TURF board when there are Level 2 triggers in two adjacent phi sectors within 10 ns. When there is a Level 3 trigger, the TURF board instructs the SURF board to begin digitization.

3.2. Digitization

The digitization of the signal is performed by the SURF board. There are twelve SURF boards, each containing four custom-built Application Specific Integrated Circuits called Large Analog Bandwidth Recorder And Digitizer with Ordered Readout (LABRADOR).
sight of the NASA LDB Facility. The shaded regions indicate when the ANITA payload was in line of acceptable levels by the TUFF notch filters, the need for masking was strikingly reduced. Due to the mitigation of CW noise in ANITA-IV to acceptable levels by the TUFF notch filters, the need for masking was strikingly reduced. The shaded regions indicate when the ANITA payload was in line of sight of the NASA LDB Facility.

Due to the mitigation of CW noise in ANITA-IV to acceptable levels by the TUFF notch filters, the need for masking was strikingly reduced. The shaded regions indicate when the ANITA payload was in line of sight of the NASA LDB Facility.

4.1. Amplifiers and bias tee

There are two amplifiers connected in series that together produce second-stage RF power amplification of approximately 45 dB. The gain of a TUFF channel, as measured in the lab, is shown in Fig. 7. In Fig. 5, AMP 1 is a BGA2851 by NXP Semiconductors and AMP 2 is an ADL5545 by Analog Devices. There is an attenuator producing 1 dB of attenuation to the RF signal as it leaves AMP 1 and before it enters AMP 2. The BGA2851 provides a gain of 24.8 dB at 950 MHz. It has a noise figure of 3.2 dB at 950 MHz. It consumes 7 mA of current at a supply voltage of 5 V, or 35 mW of power. The ADL5545 provides a gain of 24.1 dB with broadband operation from 30–6000 MHz. Out-of-band power at frequencies above 2 GHz is suppressed by a filter on each TUFF channel. Additionally, there are band-pass filters immediately after the TUFF boards in the signal processing chain allowing power only in the frequency range 200–1200 MHz. The ADL5545 has a noise figure of 2.9 dB at 900 MHz and a 1 dB compression point (P1dB) of 18.1 dBm at 900 MHz. It consumes 56 mA of current at a supply voltage of 5 V, or 300 mW of power. Thus, this amplifier consumes the majority of the power required by a single TUFF channel. Table 1 summarizes properties of the amplifiers.

There is a bias tee on each TUFF channel that remotely powers the AMPA (antenna-mounted pre-amplifier) unit at the other end of the coaxial cable connecting an AMPA and that channel. It consists of a 4310LC inductor by Coilcraft in series with a 0.1 μF capacitor. The inductor delivers DC to the AMPA unit while the capacitor prevents DC from passing through to the signal path of the TUFF channel. The bias tee allows RF signal traveling from the AMPA unit through the coaxial cable to pass through to the rest of the signal path of the TUFF channel.

4.2. Notch filters

There are three tunable, switchable notch filters for mitigation of CW noise at the default frequencies of 260 MHz (Notch 1), 375 MHz (Notch 2) and 460 MHz (Notch 3). CW noise at the first two frequencies are thought to be caused by military communications satellites, specifically, the FLTSAT and UFO systems and the MUOS system, respectively. The third notch filter is present to curb CW interference seen when the ANITA payload is near Antarctic science bases such as McMurdo and South Pole Station.

The gain and group delay of a TUFF channel, with all notch filters activated as well as de-activated, are shown in Fig. 7. The TUFF notches were able to achieve a maximum attenuation of approximately 13 dB, and were implemented as a simple RLC trap (Carr et al. [6]). The added group delay in the regions between the notches was below the effective integration time of the SHORT, and so should only have a minor effect on the contribution to the trigger for those frequencies. Note that the resonant regions (with negative group delay) are in the notch and effective integration time of the SHORT, and so should only have a minor effect on the contribution to the trigger for those frequencies. Note that the resonant regions (with negative group delay) are in the notch and suppressed, and therefore do not contribute significantly to the signal-to-noise ratio. A simple simulation of a broadband impulse shows a 4% improvement in the triggering efficiency due to removal of power (low frequency noise) by the notch filters outside of the integration window, but a proper quantification of the effect on neutrino efficiencies would account for the effect of the notches as well as variations in power spectra from neutrino-induced signals.

In each notch, the resistance $R$ originated from the parasitic on-resistance of a dual-pole, single-throw RF switch and the DC resistance of the remaining components. This is approximately 6–7 Ω. The inductance
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In each notch, the resistance $R$ originated from the parasitic on-resistance of a dual-pole, single-throw RF switch and the DC resistance of the remaining components. This is approximately 6–7 Ω. The inductance...
The forward transmission coefficient, $S_{21}$, or the gain (top) and group delay (bottom) of a TUFF channel as measured in the lab with all notches de-activated (black dashed line) and all notches activated at their default frequencies (red solid line). There is approximately 13 dB of gain attenuation in the notched regions.

$L$ is fixed at 56 nH. The capacitance $C$ is a combination of a fixed capacitor and a PE64906 variable capacitor from Peregrine Semiconductor. Simulations using the device model of the variable capacitor suggest that the mounting pads of the components contribute $\sim 0.6$ pF of parasitic capacitance.

With the tuning capability of the variable capacitor, the resonant frequency of the RLC circuit was modified during flight to dynamically mitigate CW interference. The variable capacitor in a notch can be tuned in 32 discrete steps of 119 fF in the range 0.9–4.6 pF and for each notch, is connected in series or parallel with a constant capacitance. For Notch 1, the variable capacitor is in parallel with a 1.8 pF capacitor. For Notches 2 and 3, the variable capacitor is in series with a 12.0 pF (Notch 2) and a 1.5 pF (Notch 3) capacitor for increased tuning capability. Fig. 8 shows a simplified circuit diagram.

Previous tunable notch designs were typically implemented as multiple-pole filters (e.g. Brank et al. [7]), having both parallel and series connected components. Adding the capability to de-activate these notches would have required multiple switches per notch, a significant increase in circuit size, and resulted in the switches being present in the signal path even when the notch was de-activated (Wong et al. [8]). An alternative approach would have been a coupled transmission line (Wu et al. [9]), however coupled inductors over these frequencies are both large and low-performance. The simple notch structure used here, while limited in rejection and bandwidth, results in an extremely
compact filter bank and less than 0.1 dB insertion loss when the notch is de-activated.

4.3. Microcontroller

We use an ultra-low-power microcontroller, specifically a MSP430 G2102 by Texas Instruments. This features a powerful 16-bit Reduced Instruction Set Computing (RISC) central processing unit (CPU). There are five low-power modes optimized for extended battery life. The active mode consumes 220 μA at 1 MHz and 2.2 V. The standby mode consumes only 0.5 μA and the RAM retention-off mode consumes 0.1 μA. The digitally-controlled oscillator allows wake-up from low-power modes to active mode in less than 1 μs.

During the ANITA-IV flight, commands could be sent using the SIP connection to set the state of the variable capacitor of each TUFF notch filter via the microcontroller of that channel. This was done in real time if a re-tune of a notch filter was necessary to mitigate CW interference. Commands could be sent to de-activate or activate a notch filter using the switch associated with each notch. Each microcontroller has the capability to communicate over universal serial communication interface.

5. TUFF notch filter operations during the ANITA-IV flight

Deployed for the first time in ANITA-IV, the TUFF boards were heavily used throughout the flight. Fig. 9 summarizes the activation status of each notch as a function of time during the flight.

Notch 1: 260 MHz. Notch 1 was centered at 260 MHz and was re-tuned on Dec 14 as we saw CW interference at 250 MHz and was tuned back to 260 MHz later that day.

Notch 2: 360–390 MHz. During the ANITA-III flight, a second CW peak at 375 MHz from military satellite systems (CW peak seen in Fig. 10) was sometimes present. The MUOS-1 and MUOS-2 satellites are suspected to have caused the second CW peak in ANITA-III. This peak is always present during the ANITA-IV flight. The enhanced second peak in ANITA-IV is likely due to the presence of three additional MUOS satellites, that is, MUOS-3, MUOS-4 and MUOS-5, in orbit during the ANITA-IV flight. During the ANITA-IV flight, Notch 2 was re-activated twice (Dec 2, Dec 19), needed to be activated again within minutes due to this CW noise. This is illustrated in Fig. 11 where we show averaged spectra over all waveforms from a phi sector with the notch de-activated and then activated (Dec 2), and in Fig. 12 where the trigger rate is shown to be nearly double when Notch 2 was de-activated (Dec 19). Notch 2 was re-tuned during flight a few times (Dec 6–8) to dynamically combat CW interference in the range of 360–390 MHz. Fig. 13 shows the effect of real time tuning of Notch 2 on Dec 7 for mitigation of CW interference at 390 MHz. Tuning the notch brought the CW noise power down.

Notch 3: 460 MHz. Notch 3 was generally activated when the payload was in view of Antarctic bases and filtered the 450–460 MHz frequency region. Notch 3 was de-activated on Dec 2 for a few minutes but had to be activated again as the payload was close to McMurdo Station at the time.

6. Performance of ANITA-IV compared to ANITA-III

During the ANITA-IV flight, we utilized all features of the TUFF notch filters to achieve decreased masking, increased stability of trigger
Fig. 10. A plot of the average power spectral density over 1 min for one channel from when the ANITA-III payload was near WAIS Divide in Antarctica. The two peaks at 260 MHz and 375 MHz, presumably from military satellites, are visible here. The 260 MHz peak was present throughout the flight and the 375 MHz peak was present during less than half of the flight. These CW peaks motivated the installation of the TUFF notch filters in ANITA-IV. As it turns out, Notch 1 (to curb the left peak) and Notch 2 (to curb the right peak) both needed to be active for essentially the entire flight in ANITA-IV.

Fig. 11. Average power spectra from triggered events over a 16.5 min period with Notch 2 de-activated and Notch 2 activated during the ANITA-IV flight. Notch 2 was de-activated on Dec 2 for 16 min resulting in a CW peak seen in the spectra. Notch 2 was then activated again, and the CW peak was curbed. Although we show only phi sector 16 here, excess CW noise upon de-activating Notch 2 and the effect of activating Notch 2 again was seen in almost all phi sectors. Note that the lower overall scale when Notch 2 is activated is due to a lower threshold, and triggering on thermal noise and not interference, rather than an overall signal loss.

Fig. 12. On Dec 19 at approximately 10:46 PM, Notch 2 was de-activated for approximately 10 minutes. The vertical red lines enclose the duration of time during which Notch 2 was de-activated. A trigger rate above ∼ 50 Hz incurs digitization deadtime. The spike in event rate shows that Notch 2 was crucial to keeping CW interference in check. Even with the LCP/RCP coincidence required by the ANITA-IV trigger, further mitigation of CW interference by the TUFF boards was necessary to avoid masking.

Fig. 13. Power spectra (averaged over 2 h) from before and after dynamically tuning Notch 2 during the ANITA-IV flight. On observing a large CW peak at 390 MHz on Dec 7, Notch 2 was re-tuned. Although we show only phi sector 8 here, similar CW peaks and effects of notch tuning were seen in all phi sectors.

Digitization livetime per second can be obtained by subtracting this from one. Increasing the digitization livetime increases the probability of receiving RF signal due to an UHE neutrino.

Instrument livetime. At any given time, the digitization livetime multiplied by the fraction of unmasked phi sectors (after accounting for channel-masking) gives us the instrument livetime per second. In other words, instrument livetime accounts for the fraction of observable ice in azimuth after accounting for masking.

6.2. Methods adopted to reduce digitization deadtime

Masking. Before ANITA-IV, the primary method of reducing digitization deadtime due to CW signal was masking, which includes both phi-masking and channel-masking. However, masking leads to instrument deadtime as parts of the payload become unavailable for neutrino detection. Due to the TUFF boards, fractional masking below 0.3 was maintained during the ANITA-IV flight, as seen in Fig. 4.
Fig. 14. Digitization livetime per second for ANITA-III (top) and ANITA-IV (bottom). As the ANITA-III payload was inundated by CW interference, digitization livetime was reduced. In ANITA-IV, the TUFF boards helped to reduce triggers due to CW signal and therefore, increased the digitization livetime. The total digitization livetime for the ANITA-III and ANITA-IV flights was calculated to be 73.7% and 92.3% respectively. The shaded regions indicate when the ANITA payload was in line of sight of the NASA LDB Facility.

Changing SURF DAC thresholds. In addition to masking, adjusting the SURF DAC thresholds is also a method of reducing digitization deadtime. The distribution of SURF DAC thresholds for the ANITA-III and ANITA-IV flights is shown in Fig. 3. It is evident that the method of changing thresholds to minimize digitization deadtime was heavily adopted during the ANITA-III flight. As the ANITA-III payload was continuously exposed to CW interference, it was unable to maintain stable SURF DAC thresholds. As the TUFF boards mitigated CW interference to acceptable levels in ANITA-IV, the thresholds are kept nearly constant during this flight.

6.3. Livetime in ANITA-IV compared to ANITA-III

The total digitization livetime for the ANITA-III and ANITA-IV flights was calculated to be 73.7% and 92.3% respectively. The distribution of digitization livetime per second as a function of time is shown for ANITA-III and ANITA-IV in Fig. 14. The TUFF boards dynamically notch-filtered CW peaks in the power spectrum of a received signal at an early stage of signal processing. This brought the rate of triggers due to CW signal to acceptable levels and thereby increased digitization livetime.

Most importantly, the TUFF boards helped to increase the instrument livetime (digitization livetime weighted by the fraction of unmasked phi sectors) in ANITA-IV, the thresholds are kept nearly constant during this flight.

Fig. 15. Instrument livetime per second, obtained by weighting digitization livetime by the fraction of unmasked phi sectors, for ANITA-III (top) and ANITA-IV (bottom). In ANITA-III, masking had to be implemented heavily and throughout the flight, which led to a dramatic reduction of instrument livetime. The TUFF boards largely removed the need for masking in ANITA-IV. This helped to increase the instrument livetime of ANITA, with 91.3% total instrument livetime in ANITA-IV, compared to 31.6% in ANITA-III. The shaded regions indicate when the ANITA payload was in line of sight of the NASA LDB Facility.

6.4. Impact on signal power and acceptance

A full account of the impact of the TUFF notch filters on neutrino sensitivity is under investigation and beyond the scope of this paper. We note that each notch removes approximately 5% of the system bandwidth (200–1200 MHz). Although the impact of increased digitization livetime is straightforward to estimate, the increase in sensitivity due to the reduction in masking will require a full account of the time- and azimuth-dependent exposure of ANITA to neutrinos.

7. Future plans

A fifth ANITA flight (ANITA-V) is currently proposed. For this flight, we will explore the option of developing software to dynamically activate the notch filters only when satellites come into view of an antenna in order to eliminate any sensitivity loss. Development of new triggering and digitization systems for ANITA-V is currently underway. The main proposed upgrade to the triggering system include the Real-time Independent Three-bit Converter (RITC) as described by Nishimura et al. [10]. This triggering system, conceived for ANITA-III and intended for ANITA-IV, was held back until CW mitigation, as shown here, could be demonstrated. The RITC will perform continuous, low-resolution digitization in order to carry out interferometry of all incoming data in realtime. This will be used to generate a system trigger. Once triggered, high-resolution digitization of the data will be performed by new SURF boards.
Proposed upgrades to the SURF board include new LABRADOR chips (LAB4D), as described by Roberts et al. [11]. There will be 12 LABRADOR chips per SURF board. Each LABRADOR chip will sample data from one RF channel using 32 blocks of 128-element SCAs. The SCAs will sample waveform data at \(3.2\) Gs/s, eight blocks at a time (forming four buffers per LABRADOR chip). When a Level 3 trigger is issued, sampling will be frozen for the 8 blocks of SCAs to digitize data, while the remaining 24 blocks continue to sample.
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